Revolutionizing Higher Education: Integrating the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) with MOOCs for Personalized, Flexible Learning and Enhanced Skill Development

Md Asraul Hoque

ICSSR Doctoral Fellow (Thesis Submitted)
Department of Development Studies, School of Social Science and Policy,
Central University of South Bihar (Gaya)

Email: mdasraul@yahoo.com, mdasraul@cusb.ac.in

Abstract

The integration of the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) aims to enhance flexibility, accessibility, and personalized learning in higher education. This study explores the experiences of Social Sciences students at the Central University of South Bihar with the ABC-MOOCs model, focusing on flexibility, workload management, content quality, technical challenges, and skill development. Using a mixed-methods approach, the study combines quantitative survey data with qualitative insights from open-ended responses and interviews. Findings indicate that while students appreciate the flexibility and personalized learning opportunities, challenges such as managing workloads, perceived lack of depth in some MOOCs, and technical issues hinder the model's effectiveness. Concerns about limited interaction in MOOCs also emerged, affecting collaborative learning. Despite these issues, students reported positive outcomes in skill development, particularly in data analysis and practical applications. The study concludes that improvements are needed to optimize the integration of ABC-MOOCs, including enhanced course quality, better workload support, improved infrastructure, and increased interactivity. These measures can foster a balanced, enriching learning experience that meets students' diverse academic and professional needs.

Keywords: Academic Bank of Credits (ABC), Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs), Higher Education, Flexibility, Personalized Learning, Skill Development, Student Engagement, Social Sciences

Introduction

The integration of the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) represents a transformative approach in Indian higher education, fostering flexibility and personalized learning pathways (Saleh et al., 2023). This model allows students to accumulate credits from diverse courses, enhancing their educational experiences while promoting lifelong learning. Feedback from Social Sciences students at the Central University of South Bihar highlights both the benefits and challenges associated with this integration. Students appreciate the opportunity to engage in interdisciplinary learning and explore subjects beyond traditional curricula (Adham, & Lundqvist, 2015). However, concerns persist regarding the quality and rigor of MOOCs compared to conventional courses, with many students perceiving MOOCs as lacking depth. This perception underscores the need for enhanced quality assurance to align online education with established academic standards (Williams, 2024). Technical barriers, such as unreliable

internet access and platform issues, have further complicated the learning experience, leading to disruptions that diminish the perceived value of online education (Nunes, 2004). Additionally, the absence of real-time interaction limits engagement, making it difficult for students to benefit from collaborative learning experiences typically found in classroom settings (Baporikar, 2016). Despite these challenges, positive outcomes are evident, particularly in skill acquisition through practical projects that connect theoretical knowledge to real-world applications. The ABC-MOOCs model has shown potential in bridging the gap between academic learning and career readiness (Yadav & Yadav, 2024). By addressing concerns about quality, accessibility, and interaction, educational institutions can enhance the effectiveness of the ABC-MOOCs integration, ensuring it meets the diverse needs of students in a rapidly evolving educational landscape.

Literature Review

The integration of the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) represents a transformative shift in higher education, particularly in India. This model enhances flexibility, accessibility, and personalized learning by enabling students to accumulate credits across various institutions (Bhadri & Patil, 2022). As recent studies highlight, the integration of ABC and MOOCs presents significant opportunities and challenges, particularly concerning educational experiences, learning quality, and skill development (Jung & Lee, 2018).

Flexibility emerges as a central advantage of the ABC-MOOCs model, allowing students to design individualized learning paths that align with their interests and career aspirations. Research by (Zhang and Perris, 2023) indicates that this autonomy fosters a sense of ownership over education, enabling students to pursue diverse subjects. (Garg and Chauhan, 2024) further emphasize how flexible schedules cater to those juggling education, work, and family responsibilities. However, (Figueira and Almeida, 2022) argue that while MOOCs facilitate flexibility, they often lack adaptive technologies for deep personalization, suggesting a need for enhanced integration of individualized learning approaches within MOOCs (Kintu, et al., 2017).

Despite the apparent benefits, managing workload in a blended learning environment remains a significant challenge. (Kulkarni et al. 2023) observe that while the self-paced nature of MOOCs is advantageous, it can lead to increased stress and burnout when combined with traditional coursework. (Jones and Roberts, 2023) support this view, noting that students struggle to balance online and offline academic demands, which adversely affects learning outcomes. This feedback from Social Sciences students at the Central University of South Bihar underscores the necessity for effective workload management strategies tailored to this unique educational landscape.

Concerns regarding the quality and depth of MOOCs persist, particularly as they relate to the rigour expected in higher education. (Li and Zhao, 2023) note that many MOOCs are often perceived as superficial, focusing predominantly on introductory content. (Wilkins and Ahn, 2022) stress the importance of robust quality assurance mechanisms to ensure alignment with university curricula. Students at the Central University of South Bihar echo these sentiments, highlighting that certain MOOCs lack the depth and thoroughness of traditional courses. Understanding how perceptions of course quality influence student

engagement and satisfaction is crucial for optimizing the ABC-MOOCs integration (Williams, 2024).

Technical challenges also pose significant barriers to effective online learning. (Hossain et al. 2023) identify that students in regions with limited digital infrastructure face considerable obstacles, including poor internet connectivity and platform usability issues (Williams, 2024). These technical difficulties disrupt learning and diminish the perceived value of online education. Students at the Central University of South Bihar frequently report issues related to unreliable internet access and platform glitches, which hinder their ability to engage fully in MOOCs. Addressing these technical challenges is essential for improving accessibility and the overall user experience of online education (Sebbeq & Faddouli, 2020).

Engagement and interaction in MOOCs compared to traditional classroom settings, are critical areas of concern. (Al-Samarraie et al. 2023) and (Liu and Chen, 2022) find that the absence of real-time discussions and direct interaction with instructors can lead to feelings of isolation, which diminishes student motivation (Ogunyemi, et al., 2022). The asynchronous nature of many MOOCs limits collaborative learning opportunities, particularly vital in Social Sciences education. Feedback from students at the Central University of South Bihar reveals that the lack of classroom discussions and personalized guidance makes the online learning experience less fulfilling (Wang et al., 2022). This highlights the need for strategies to enhance engagement and interaction in online course designs.

Finally, skill development remains a key benefit of MOOCs when they incorporate practical assignments and case studies. (Pappano and Silvernail, 2023) demonstrate that project-based MOOCs significantly enhance practical skills relevant to various fields. Students at the Central University of South Bihar report that projects in MOOCs have equipped them with skills applicable to their academic and professional pursuits (Sunar et al., 2016). This reinforces the necessity for further research to understand how these skills translate into real-world contexts.

Overall, the literature indicates that the ABC-MOOCs integration has the potential to significantly improve higher education by increasing flexibility and promoting skill development (Fantinelli et al., 2024). Nonetheless, challenges related to workload management, quality assurance, technical infrastructure, and student engagement must be addressed (Dagenais et al., 2024). This study aims to explore the specific experiences and perceptions of Social Sciences students at the Central University of South Bihar, offering valuable insights into optimizing the ABC-MOOCs integration for better educational outcomes.

Synthesis and Gap Identification

The literature provides a foundational understanding of the individual components—ABC, MOOCs, and personalized learning. However, there is a notable gap in comprehensive studies exploring the combined impact of integrating ABC and MOOCs on students' learning experiences and skill acquisition, particularly within diverse Social Sciences programs. This study seeks to address this gap by examining the interplay between ABC and MOOCs and its implications for students across various disciplines.

Need and Significance of The Study

The integration of the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) signifies a transformative shift in higher education,

providing students with flexible, accessible pathways to accumulate credits and pursue personalized learning (Mutawa, 2023). This model aims to broaden educational access and foster lifelong learning by allowing students to select courses from diverse institutions, aligning their educational journey with personal interests and career goals. Given the growing prominence of ABC-MOOCs, particularly in India, there is a need to assess its impact on student experiences, skill acquisition, and satisfaction. Understanding these aspects is essential for optimizing the system and addressing challenges to ensure it meets its intended objectives (Bartolomé et al., 2018). This study focuses on Social Sciences students at the Central University of South Bihar, a group whose specific needs in the context of ABC-MOOCs integration have not been thoroughly explored. Key areas such as workload management, course quality, and personalization of learning are examined, providing insights into the unique challenges and benefits of this model. Additionally, the study investigates technical infrastructure issues that could hinder effective online learning, emphasizing the need for robust digital resources (Buhl & Andreasen, 2018). By exploring how students apply skills gained from MOOCs in real-world contexts, this research highlights the practical value of ABC-MOOCs integration, offering guidance to educators, policymakers, and administrators to refine and enhance online learning strategies for broader, more inclusive educational outcomes (Marienko et al., 2020).

- Research Questions
- How do students perceive the integration of Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and MOOCs within their educational journey?
- What is the impact of ABC and MOOCs on the development of key skills such as research, critical thinking, communication, and interdisciplinary learning among students?
- How do individualized learning paths enabled by ABC and MOOCs affect student engagement, motivation, and their sense of ownership over their learning process?

Objectives of the study

- Explore how students perceive the integration of Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) in their overall educational experience.
- Evaluate the impact of ABC and MOOCs on students' skill acquisition, focusing on research, critical thinking, communication, and interdisciplinary competencies.
- Investigate the influence of individualized learning paths facilitated by ABC and MOOCs on student engagement, motivation, and ownership of their education.

Methodology

This study utilizes a mixed-methods approach to evaluate the integration of the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) at the Central University of South Bihar, focusing on student experiences. By combining quantitative and qualitative data, the research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how ABC and MOOCs impact flexibility, workload management, skill development, and personalized learning. The sample comprised 186 students for a questionnaire survey and 40 students for semi-structured interviews, specifically from Social Sciences programs such as Economics, Psychology, Sociology, Political Science, Hindi, History, and English. Purposive sampling ensured that interview

participants had relevant exposure to ABC and MOOCs, while simple random sampling captured a broader perspective for the surveys.

An expert review process was implemented to validate research instruments, refining survey and interview questions to align with the research objectives. Data collection methods included surveys, open-ended questionnaires, and semi-structured interviews. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics to identify trends, while qualitative data were examined thematically to extract key themes. The study is delimited to Social Sciences students, focusing on self-reported experiences rather than academic performance metrics, which may limit generalizability. Ethical considerations were strictly adhered to, ensuring informed consent, anonymity,

and the right to withdraw, ultimately providing valuable insights into the strengths and challenges of ABC and MOOCs integration in higher education.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

Section A: Analysis of Student Perceptions, Skill Acquisition, and Individualized Learning Paths with ABC and MOOCs Integration

The integration of the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) in educational systems provides various opportunities and challenges. Based on the data collected through field surveys, three aspects—student perceptions, skill acquisition, and individualized learning paths—were analyzed to understand the overall impact.

Table-1: Assess Student Perceptions (ABC and MOOCs Integration)

Sl. No	Particulars	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	S. D	Decision
1.	To what extent do you agree that the integration of ABC and MOOCs provides flexibility in pursuing your education?	41(22.0)	54(29.0)	54(29.0)	32(17.2)	5(2.7)	3.50	1.09	High
2.	To what extent do you agree that the content of MOOCs contributes to your academic and career goals?	38(20.4)	68(36.6)	48(25.8)	27(14.5)	5(2.7)	3.57	1.05	High
3.	To what extent do you agree that the MOOCs offered through ABC are accessible in terms of language, technology, and ease of enrollment?	21(11.3)	57(30.6)	60(32.3)	44(23.7)	4(2.2)	3.25	1.01	Low
4.	To what extent do you agree that transferring credits from MOOCs through ABC is a straightforward and efficient process?	45(24.2)	58(31.2)	49(26.3)	28(15.1)	6(3.2)	3.58	1.10	High

Sl. No	Particulars	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	S. D	Decision
5.	To what extent do you agree that the quality of MOOCs integrated into ABC is comparable to traditional classroom-based courses?	25(13.4)	36(19.4)	42(22.6)	67(36.0)	16(8.6)	2.93	1.19	Low
6.	To what extent do you agree that you are satisfied with the overall integration of ABC and MOOCs in your educational experience?	27(14.5)	52(28.0)	59(31.7)	46(24.7)	2(1.1)	3.30	1.03	Low
7.	To what extent do you agree that the certifications and credits earned through MOOCs are valuable and recognized by educational institutions and employers?	31(16.7)	43(23.1)	67(36.0)	40(21.5)	5(2.7)	3.29	1.06	Low
8.	To what extent do you agree that the integration of ABC and MOOCs provides you with flexibility to choose courses aligned with your personal and professional interests?	49(26.3)	61(32.8)	46(24.7)	25(13.4)	5(2.7)	3.66	1.08	High

Note: Numbers in parenthesis are in percentage, Decision- Weighted Average=27.08/8=3.38 Source: Field Survey

The data in Table 1 assesses student perceptions of integrating the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and MOOCs, highlighting flexibility, content relevance, accessibility, credit transfer, quality, satisfaction, and certification recognition. The analysis reveals mixed results; students perceive the integration as enhancing flexibility (mean: 3.50) and aligning with personal and professional interests (mean: 3.66). The contribution of MOOCs to academic and career goals (mean: 3.57) and the credit transfer process (mean: 3.58) received positive ratings. However, concerns about accessibility (mean: 3.25) emerge, particularly regarding language, technology, and enrolment challenges. The quality of MOOCs compared to traditional courses (mean: 2.93) and overall satisfaction with the integration (mean: 3.30) also show dissatisfaction. The overall weighted average of 3.38 indicates moderate satisfaction, emphasizing strengths in flexibility and credit transfer while highlighting the need for improvements in accessibility, quality, and certification recognition.

Table-2: Measure Impact on Skill Acquisition (Research, Critical Thinking, Communication, and Interdisciplinary Skills)

Sl. No	Particulars	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncer-tain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	S. D	Decision
1.	To what extent do you agree that ABC and MOOCs have enhanced your ability to conduct research and engage in critical thinking?	49(26.3)	51(27.4)	50(26.9)	33(17.7)	3(1.6)	3.59	1.10	High
2.	To what extent do you agree that the integration of ABC and MOOCs has improved your communication skills and ability to collaborate in a multidisciplinary environment?	50(26.9)	57(30.6)	46(24.7)	29(15.6)	4(2.2)	3.64	1.10	High
3.	To what extent do you agree that ABC and MOOCs have improved your ability to analyze and solve complex problems?	44(23.7)	51(27.4)	52(28.0)	34(18.3)	5(.27)	3.51	1.12	Low
4.	To what extent do you agree that ABC and MOOCs have strengthened your ability to understand and integrate knowledge across different disciplines?	52(28.0)	62(33.3)	45(24.2)	25(13.4)	2(1.1)	3.73	1.04	High
5.	To what extent do you agree that ABC and MOOCs have developed your ability to learn independently and take responsibility for your own learning?	42(22.6)	55(29.6)	52(28.0)	35(18.8)	2(1.1)	3.53	1.07	Low
6.	To what extent do you agree that ABC and MOOCs have enhanced your ability to apply theoretical knowledge to practical, real-world situations?	56(30.1)	55(29.6)	49(26.3)	23(12.4)	3(1.6)	3.74	1.06	High

Sl. No	Particulars	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	S. D	Decision
7.	To what extent do you agree that the integration of ABC and MOOCs has provided opportunities for collaborative research with peers or faculty?	37(19.9)	45(24.2)	58(31.2)	43(23.1)	3(1.6)	3.37	1.09	Low

Note: Numbers in parenthesis are in percentage, Decision- Weighted Average=25.11/7=3.58 Source: Field Survey

While Table 2 highlights the evaluates the impact of ABC and MOOCs on skill acquisition, specifically research, critical thinking, communication, problem-solving, interdisciplinary understanding, and practical application. The analysis shows positive outcomes in key areas. Students report significant enhancement in their research and critical thinking abilities (mean: 3.59), communication and collaboration skills (mean: 3.64), interdisciplinary knowledge integration (mean: 3.73), and applying theoretical knowledge to real-world situations (mean: 3.74). However, there are mixed perceptions regarding independent learning (mean: 3.53) and problem-solving (mean: 3.51), which are rated lower. Opportunities for collaborative research are viewed less positively (mean: 3.37). The overall weighted average of 3.58 indicates that ABC and MOOCs are generally effective in improving key skills, though areas like problem-solving and research collaboration need attention.

Table-3: Explore Individualized Learning Paths (Engagement, Motivation, and Ownership of Learning)

Sl. No	Particulars	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	S. D	Decision
1.	To what extent do you agree that ABC and MOOCs have empowered you to design your own learning path and take responsibility for your educational outcomes?	59(31.7)	65(34.9)	49(26.3)	10(5.1)	3(1.6)	3.89	.96	High
2.	To what extent do you agree that the flexible, individualized learning paths provided by ABC and MOOCs have increased your motivation and engagement in your educational journey?	49(26.3)	73(39.2)	41(22.0)	19(10.2)	4(2.2)	3.77	1.02	High

Sl. No	Particulars	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncer-tain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Mean	S. D	Decision
3.	To what extent do you agree that the integration of ABC and MOOCs has increased your autonomy in deciding what, when, and how you learn?	64(34.4)	73(39.2)	34(18.3)	11(5.9)	4(2.2)	3.97	.98	High
4.	To what extent do you agree that ABC and MOOCs allow you to customize your learning experience based on your personal interests and career goals?	35(18.8)	70(37.8)	48(25.8)	29(15.6)	4(2.2)	3.55	1.03	Low
5.	To what extent do you agree that the integration of ABC and MOOCs has enhanced your engagement with learning materials and resources?	52(28.0)	67(36.0)	45(24.2)	17(9.1)	5(2.7)	3.77	1.04	High
6.	To what extent do you agree that ABC and MOOCs have provided you with control over the pace at which you complete your courses and learning tasks?	39(21.0)	62(33.3)	45(24.2)	38(20.4)	2(1.1)	3.52	1.07	Low
7.	To what extent do you agree that the individualized learning paths offered by ABC and MOOCs have increased your motivation to continue learning throughout your life?	51(27.4)	77(41.4)	42(22.6)	14(7.5)	2(1.1)	3.86	.94	High

Note: Numbers in parenthesis are in percentage, Decision- Weighted Average=26.33/7=3.76 Source: Field Survey

Table 3, on the other hand, highlights the data and explores how ABC and MOOCs impact individualized learning paths, focusing on engagement, motivation, and ownership of learning. Overall, students express positive perceptions. The ability to design their own learning path and take responsibility for outcomes is highly rated (mean: 3.89), along with increased autonomy in deciding what, when, and how to learn (mean: 3.97). The flexible learning paths have also enhanced motivation and engagement (mean: 3.77) and encouraged lifelong learning (mean: 3.86). However, there is some uncertainty regarding customization based on personal interests (mean: 3.55) and control over the pace of learning (mean: 3.52), which are rated lower. The weighted average of 3.76 reflects strong support for individualized learning,

although areas like course pacing and personalization could be improved for greater effectiveness.

Section B:

This analysis of feedback from 40 Social Sciences students at the Central University of South Bihar provides a comprehensive insight into the integration of the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) within their educational experience. Key findings across different programs can be summarized as follows:

Table-4: "How would you describe your overall experience with the integration of Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) in your education?" from 40 students at the Central University of South Bihar, enrolled in various Social Sciences programs

Social Sciences participants	Flexibility and Convenience	Challenges in Balancing Workload	Quality and Depth of MOOCs	Technical Difficulties	Lack of Interaction and Engagement
M.A. Economics	"The flexibility to choose courses through ABC was great."	"Balancing online MOOCs with regular coursework was difficult."	"The quality of some MOOCs was lower than expected."	"I frequently encountered technical issues that made accessing some MOOCs difficult."	"I missed the personal guidance and interaction that are part of traditional classroom learning."
M.A. Psychology	"I enjoyed being able to take a variety of MOOCs."	"I struggled to keep up with the workload of both MOOCs and regular classes."	"I found that the online format of MOOCs didn't match the engagement of traditional classes."	"I faced numerous technical challenges that negatively impacted my learning process."	"I found the online courses less engaging due to the absence of classroom discussions."
M.A. Sociology	"MOOCs gave me access to international resources."	"I found it difficult to stay motivated in the self-paced format of MOOCs."	"I felt that the online courses lacked depth."	"Technical difficulties were a persistent problem that affected my ability to keep pace with my studies."	"The lack of student-teacher interaction in MOOCs made the learning experience feel less fulfilling."
M.A. Pol. Science	"Being able to accumulate credits from MOOCs was helpful."	"I felt disconnected from my classmates and professors during the online courses."	"The quality of some MOOCs was not as high as our in-class courses."	"The online platform's accessibility issues created obstacles in my coursework."	"The lack of real-time interaction with professors in MOOCs was a downside compared to traditional classes."
M.A. Hindi	"I appreciate the academic freedom ABC gave me."	"The workload of managing both was difficult."	"The content of some online courses was too basic for me."	"Navigating the technology used for MOOCs was often frustrating and time-consuming."	"MOOCs felt more detached because there wasn't the same level of interaction as in physical classes."

Social Sciences participants	Flexibility and Convenience	Challenges in Balancing Workload	Quality and Depth of MOOCs	Technical Difficulties	Lack of Interaction and Engagement
M.A. History	"ABC helped me choose courses more suited to my interests."	"The content of some online courses was too basic for me."	"Some MOOCs felt too general compared to our specialized subjects."	"The technical issues I faced during online classes often disrupted my focus and motivation."	"I struggled to stay engaged in MOOCs since there were no interactive elements like in-person discussions."
M.A. Pol. Science	"The flexibility of MOOCs was helpful."	"Balancing the workload of MOOCs and my classes was difficult."	"I wish there were more advanced MOOCs available; some were too basic."	"The reliability of the platform used for MOOCs was inconsistent, making it hard to participate effectively."	"The absence of classroom discussions and direct engagement with professors made MOOCs less appealing."
M.A. Sociology	"ABC allowed me to earn credits outside my major."	"I found that some MOOCs were too basic for my level."	"Some MOOCs lacked depth, especially compared to our university classes."	"Poor internet connectivity was a significant barrier that hindered my experience with MOOCs."	"I missed the personal interaction with classmates and instructors, which made MOOCs feel isolating."
M.A. English	"I liked the variety of subjects offered by MOOCs."	"I found it challenging to manage the workload from both MOOCs and my regular classes."	"I felt the quality of some MOOCs wasn't as rigorous as our university curriculum."	"Technical difficulties often disrupted my ability to fully engage with online courses."	"MOOCs lacked the depth of interaction that we usually have in our university courses, which affected my learning experience."

Table 4 illustrates the feedback from 40 Social Sciences students at the Central University of South Bihar, highlighting both the benefits and challenges of integrating ABC and MOOCs. Students value the flexibility and variety of courses, particularly the ability to choose subjects based on their interests. However, balancing the workload of MOOCs with regular coursework was a common struggle, leading to stress. Concerns were raised about the quality and depth of MOOCs, with some courses perceived as too basic. Technical issues, such as unreliable platforms and poor internet connectivity, along with a lack of interaction, further hindered the learning experience.

Table-5: A qualitative analysis of responses to the question "How do you think the integration of ABC and MOOCs has contributed to your understanding of course materials and subjects?" from 40 students enrolled in various Social Sciences programs at the Central University of South Bihar revealed the following insights

Common Themes	Observation	Respond
Diversification of Perspectives	Many students reported that the integration of Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and MOOCs broadened their perspectives on course materials by providing additional viewpoints and supplementary content from different sources and disciplines.	"In the M.A. in Political Science, the MOOCs covered international perspectives not fully addressed in our regular classes. It enriched my understanding of global political dynamics."
Enhanced Depth of Knowledge	Students often noted that MOOCs contributed to a more in-depth understanding of specific topics, allowing them to explore subjects in greater detail beyond the scope of their regular coursework.	"Being in the M.A. in History program, the MOOCs delved into specific historical events in detail, offering a richer context that complemented our broader curriculum."
Application of Theoretical Concepts	Several participants mentioned that the integration facilitated the application of theoretical concepts learned in class to real-world scenarios, making the learning experience more practical.	"As an M.A. in Economics student, the MOOCs provided real-world case studies, helping me apply economic theories to current economic issues and policies."
Interdisciplinary Connections	Students appreciated how the integration helped them make interdisciplinary connections between their core subjects and other areas of study, fostering a holistic understanding.	"The M.A. in Sociology program benefited from MOOCs that explored sociological aspects in tandem with other disciplines, creating a more interconnected knowledge base."
Individualized Learning Paths	Some participants highlighted that the integration allowed for individualized learning paths, enabling them to explore specific topics of personal interest within their academic discipline.	"In the M.A. in Psychology program, the flexibility of MOOCs let me focus on specific areas of psychology that aligned with my career goals, adding depth to my studies."

Table 5, on the other hand, highlights the qualitative analysis of responses from 40 Social Sciences students at the Central University of South Bihar, revealing the key benefits of integrating ABC and MOOCs. Students appreciated the diversification of perspectives, particularly through international viewpoints and supplementary content that enriched their understanding. The depth of knowledge gained through MOOCs allowed for deeper exploration of topics, while real-world case studies helped bridge theory and practice. The integration also fostered interdisciplinary connections and personalized learning paths, allowing students to tailor their studies to personal interests and career goals. Overall, the integration enhanced both academic depth and practical understanding.

Table-6: A qualitative analysis of responses to the question to what extent do you feel that the integration of ABC and MOOCs has personalized your learning experience? Can you provide responses? From 40 students at the Central University of South Bihar enrolled in various Social Sciences programs

Common Themes	Observation	Respond
Flexible Learning Paths	Many students expressed appreciation for the personalized learning paths facilitated by MOOCs, allowing them to explore specific areas of interest at their own pace.	"In the M.A. in English program, the MOOCs offered a range of literature courses, letting me personalize my learning journey by selecting topics aligned with my literary interests."
Tailored Skill Development	Participants often noted that the integration allowed for the customization of skill development, enabling them to focus on areas that aligned with their career aspirations.	"As an M.A. in Psychology student, the integration allowed me to choose MOOCs that specifically enhanced my research skills, aligning with my career goal of becoming a researcher."
Individualized Research Opportunities	Some students highlighted the personalized nature of research opportunities, where they could explore specific topics in-depth, enhancing their understanding.	"In the M.A. in Sociology program, the integration provided research-oriented MOOCs that allowed me to delve into niche sociological areas, making my research more personalized."
Catering to Varied Learning Styles	Participants noted that the integration accommodated different learning styles, providing diverse resources such as videos, articles, and interactive content.	"For M.A. in Political Science, the MOOCs included multimedia content, catering to various learning styles and making the learning experience more personalized."
Adaptability to Personal Schedules	Students highlighted how the integration allowed them to adapt their learning to personal schedules, especially beneficial for those with part-time jobs or other commitments.	"In the M.A. in Hindi program, the flexibility of MOOCs helped me balance my studies with work commitments, making my learning experience more personalized and adaptable."

While Table 6 highlights the qualitative analysis from 40 Social Sciences students at the Central University of South Bihar, which shows that the integration of ABC and MOOCs has personalized their learning experiences. Students appreciated the flexible learning paths, which allowed them to explore topics at their own pace and focus on skills aligned with their career goals. The integration catered to different learning styles and personal schedules, making learning more engaging and adaptable, ultimately enhancing both academic and personal development.

Table-7: A qualitative analysis of responses to the question: Can you describe any specific projects or assignments from MOOCs that you believe enhanced your practical skills or knowledge? From 40 students at the Central University of South Bihar, enrolled in various Social Sciences programs

Common Themes	Respond
Data Analysis and Visualization	"I undertook a project in a data analytics MOOC where I had to analyze economic indicators and present the findings visually. This enhanced my practical skills in data interpretation. (M.A. in Economics): "
Literary Critique and Creative Writing	"A MOOC project required us to analyze a classic novel and create a modern adaptation. This project honed my creative writing skills and deepened my literary analysis (M.A. in English)."
Historical Research and Archival Work	"A MOOC on ancient civilizations required a project researching primary sources. It gave me hands-on experience with historical research and archival analysis. (M.A. in History): "
Policy Analysis and Simulation	"We conducted a policy simulation project in a political science MOOC, analyzing the impact of proposed policies. This practical exercise improved my policy analysis skills. (M.A. in Political Science)"

Table 7 shows on the analysis of responses from 40 Social Sciences students at the Central University of South Bihar shows that MOOCs projects have significantly enhanced practical skills. Economics students improved data analysis, English students honed creative writing, History students gained archival research experience, and Political Science students sharpened policy analysis skills. These projects linked theory to real-world practice.

Table-8: A qualitative analysis of responses to the question: Can you share responses of how the skills acquired through ABC and MOOCs have been applicable in your academic or professional life? From 40 students at the Central University of South Bihar enrolled in various Social Sciences programs

Common Themes	Respond
Research Skills in Academic Writing	"The research skills I honed in a literature analysis MOOC have been crucial in my academic writing, allowing me to conduct thorough literature reviews for my M.A. thesis (M.A. in English)."
Data Analysis Skills in Economic Research	"The data analysis techniques learned in a MOOC have been invaluable in my economic research projects. I can now analyze economic data more effectively (M.A. in Economics)."
Language Proficiency in Translation Work	"The language enhancement MOOC directly improved my translation skills. I now work as a translator, and the proficiency gained has been beneficial in my professional life (M.A. in Hindi)."
Policy Analysis in Government Internships	"The policy analysis skills from a MOOC were instrumental in my government internship. I could contribute effectively to analyzing and formulating policies (M.A. in Political Science)."

Common Themes	Respond
Psychological Research Skills in Clinical Setting	"The research methods MOOC greatly enhanced my psychological research skills. I now apply these skills in a clinical setting, improving patient assessments (M.A. in Psychology):"

Table 8 further show the analysis of 40 Social Sciences students at the Central University of South Bihar reveals that skills gained through ABC and MOOCs have practical applications. Research, data analysis, and language skills have enhanced students' academic work, professional translation, clinical assessments, and contributions to policy formulation through internships.

Findings and Discussion

The integration of ABC and MOOCs has been met with both positive and critical feedback from students, as reflected in the field survey data and interviews.

The integration of the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) has generated both positive and critical responses from students at the Central University of South Bihar, particularly among those in Social Sciences programs. A survey revealed that while students appreciate the flexibility offered by these platforms, challenges regarding workload management and the quality of MOOCs remain prominent. Students indicated a strong preference for autonomy in selecting courses that align with their academic and professional interests. The average mean scores of 3.50 for flexibility and 3.66 for alignment with personal goals underscore the importance of such options. However, many expressed difficulty balancing MOOCs with traditional coursework, which increased stress and feelings of isolation. This suggests that while flexibility is a significant advantage, it can also lead to challenges that impact student well-being.

Concerns about the quality of MOOCs were also evident, with a mean score of 2.93 indicating perceptions of inferior rigour compared to traditional courses. Technical issues and a lack of interaction detracted from the learning experience, highlighting a critical gap between online and in-person education. This lack of engagement contributed to students feeling disconnected from their peers and instructors, revealing that the effectiveness of MOOCs in enhancing educational experiences may be limited without sufficient interactive components. Despite these challenges, the integration of ABC and MOOCs has fostered interdisciplinary connections and a richer understanding of course materials. Students reported that exposure to diverse perspectives enhanced their academic discussions, enabling them to apply theoretical concepts to real-world scenarios. Furthermore, the personalized learning paths afforded by ABC and MOOCs were appreciated, allowing students to pursue their interests and tailor their education to fit individual career aspirations.

Overall, the integration of ABC and MOOCs presents a mixed landscape of opportunities and challenges. While students benefit from flexibility and personalized learning experiences, concerns about workload, course quality, and social engagement need to be addressed. Fostering a more balanced approach to these challenges will enhance the educational experience and ensure that the integration effectively meets the evolving needs of students in the Social Sciences.

Suggestions

To enhance the integration of the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) at the Central University of South Bihar, a multifaceted approach addressing student feedback is essential. The analysis of student perceptions indicates several key areas for improvement.

- Quality Control for MOOCs is paramount. The relatively low ratings for MOOC quality (mean: 2.93) highlight the need for a robust review system that ensures these courses match the rigour of traditional offerings. Collaborating with academic departments to align MOOC content with existing curricula will enhance their relevance and academic challenge.

- Technical Support and Resources must also be prioritized. Students reported frustrations with online platforms due to technical difficulties. Providing comprehensive tutorials and workshops can empower students to navigate these platforms effectively, minimizing barriers to access.

- Facilitating Interaction and Engagement within MOOCs is crucial for enhancing the learning experience. The absence of peer interaction and instructor engagement can lead to feelings of isolation. Introducing virtual discussion forums and live Q&A sessions will foster a sense of community. Additionally, incorporating group projects and peer review assignments can encourage collaborative learning.

- Workload Management is another significant concern. Many students struggle to balance MOOCs with their traditional coursework, leading to stress. Institutions should develop structured schedules and guidelines that help students manage their workload, ensuring a more balanced educational experience.

- Expanding the Diverse Learning Resources available within MOOCs can cater to varied learning styles. By integrating more multimedia content and interactive elements, the learning experience becomes more engaging and personalized, thus increasing student satisfaction.

- Personalized Learning Pathways can further enhance the educational experience. Providing tools for students to customize their learning paths based on individual interests and career aspirations will foster deeper engagement with the material.

- Implementing a Feedback Mechanism for Continuous Improvement is also vital. A robust system that allows students to share their experiences and suggestions will enable institutions to adapt and refine MOOCs to better meet student needs.

- Lastly, emphasizing Career Guidance and Application of Skills learned through MOOCs will bridge the gap between education and professional development. Workshops connecting students with industry professionals can illuminate the practical application of skills acquired through these courses.

By addressing these critical areas, the integration of ABC and MOOCs can be optimized, enhancing both academic performance and the overall student experience. This comprehensive approach will not only improve student satisfaction but also equip learners with the necessary skills for their future careers.

Concluding Remarks

The integration of the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) at the Central University of South Bihar represents a significant advancement in education for Social Sciences students. This approach provides students with essential flexibility and autonomy, enabling them to tailor their learning experiences to align with personal and professional objectives. The positive feedback regarding skill acquisition, particularly in research and interdisciplinary knowledge, highlights the potential of MOOCs to enhance both academic understanding and practical application. However, several challenges remain that require attention. Accessibility issues, concerns about the perceived quality of MOOCs, and the difficulty of balancing workloads indicate the need for continuous improvement. Students have expressed a strong desire for greater interaction, collaboration, and support, emphasizing the importance of creating an inclusive and engaging learning environment. To optimize the integration of ABC and MOOCs, institutions must focus on enhancing course quality, improving accessibility, and bolstering student support mechanisms. By addressing these concerns and implementing targeted improvements, educational institutions can create a more effective framework that meets the diverse needs of students, ultimately enriching their learning experiences and preparing them for the complexities of the modern world.

References

Adham, R. S., & Lundqvist, K. (2015). MOOCS as a Method of distance Education in the Arab World – a review paper. T*he European Journal of Open, Distance and E-Learning*, 18(1), 123–138. https://doi.org/10.1515/eurodl-2015-0009

Baporikar, N. (2016). Stakeholder approach for quality higher education. In A*dvances in educational marketing, administration, and leadership book series* (pp. 1–26). https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-0024-7.ch001

Bartolomé, A., Castañeda, L., & Adell, J. (2018). Personalisation in educational technology: the absence of underlying pedagogies. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 15(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-018-0095-0

Beigi, M., Wang, J., & Shirmohammadi, M. (2014). AHRD! Take the opportunity and pioneer vocational MOOCs. *Human Resource Development International*, 18(2), 203–212. https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2014.978663

Bhadri, G. N., & Patil, L. R. (2022). Blended Learning: An effective approach for Online Teaching and Learning. *Journal of Engineering Education/Journal of Engineering Education Transformations/Journal of Engineering Education Transformation,* 35(is1), 53–60. https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2022/v35is1/22008

Buhl, M., & Andreasen, L. B. (2018). Learning potentials and educational challenges of massive open online courses (MOOCs) in lifelong learning. *International Review of Education*, 64(2), 151–160. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-018-9716-z

Cotterall, S. (2000). Promoting learner autonomy through the curriculum: principles for designing language courses. *ELT Journal*, 54(2), 109–117. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.2.109

Dagenais, C., Hot, A., Bekelynck, A., Villemin, R., Sween-Cadieux, E. M., & Ridde, V. (2024). MOOC-based blended learning for knowledge translation capacity-building: A qualitative evaluative study. PLoS ONE, 19(2), e0297781. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0297781

Fantinelli, S., Cortini, M., Di Fiore, T., Iervese, S., & Galanti, T. (2024). Bridging the Gap between Theoretical Learning and Practical Application: A Qualitative Study in the Italian Educational Context. *Education Sciences*, 14(2), 198. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14020198

Jung, Y., & Lee, J. (2018). Learning engagement and persistence in massive open online courses (MOOCS). *Computers & Education,* 122, 9–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.02.013

Kintu, M. J., Zhu, C., & Kagambe, E. (2017). Blended learning effectiveness: the relationship between student characteristics, design features and outcomes. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-017-0043-4

Marienko, M., Nosenko, Y., Sukhikh, A., Tataurov, V., & Shyshkina, M. (2020). Personalization of learning through adaptive technologies in the context of sustainable development of teachers' education. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 166, 10015. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202016610015

Mittal, A. (2021). Opportunities and Challenges of E-Learning in South Asia. In *Advances in educational technologies and instructional design book series* (pp. 97–111). https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-7607-6.ch007

Mutawa, A. M. (2023). Perspective Chapter: MOOCS at Higher Education – Current state and Future Trends. In *IntechOpen eBooks*. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1001367

Nunes, A. (2004). Portfolios in the EFL classroom: disclosing an informed practice. *ELT Journal*, 58(4), 327–335. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/58.4.327

Nurutdinova, A. R., Shakirova, D. S., Sabaeva, E. K., & Samarkina, N. O. (2023). The content modification within the framework of the massive open online courses (case study: International and Russian practices). In *IntechOpen eBooks.* https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1001342

Ogunyemi, A. A., Quaicoe, J. S., & Bauters, M. (2022). Indicators for enhancing learners' engagement in massive open online courses: A systematic review. *Computers and Education Open*, 3, 100088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2022.100088

Saleh, M. A., Khan, M. I., Banerjee, S., & Safi, F. (2023). A tale of online learning during COVID-19: A reflection from the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) countries. Heliyon, 9(6), e16347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.*heliyon*.2023.e16347

Sebbeq, H., & Faddouli, N. E. (2020). Towards Quality assurance in MOOCs: A Comprehensive Review and Micro-Level Framework. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 25(1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v25i1.7544

Sunar, A. S., Abdullah, N. A., White, S., & Davis, H. (2016). Personalisation in MOOCs: A Critical Literature Review. In *Communications in computer and information science* (pp. 152–168). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-29585-5_9

Wang, R., Cao, J., Xu, Y., & Li, Y. (2022). Learning engagement in massive open online courses: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Education*, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.1074435

Williams, R. T. (2024). An Overview of MOOCs and Blended Learning: Integrating MOOC Technologies into Traditional Classes. *IETE Journal of Education*, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/09747338.2024.2303040

Yadav, B., & Yadav, D. (2024). Academic flexibilities & opportunities to the learners through moocs in context of national education policy 2020. *BSSS Journal of Education*, 13(1), 161–186. https://doi.org/10.51767/je1312